# **EXHIBIT A**

# 

| Approved, SCAO   | Original - Court<br>1st copy - Defendant   | F. KAY   | 2nd copy - Plaintiff<br>3rd copy - Return   |
|--|--|--|---|
| STATE OF MICHIGAN  JUDICIAL DISTRICT  7th  JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  COUNTY PROBATE  | SUMMONS  | 2  | CASE NO.  |
| Court address<br>900 Saginaw Street, Flint, Michigan 48502   |  |  | Court telephone no<br>810-257-3220  |
| Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s).  JAMES K. SMITH  Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no.  David W. Brauer (P41974)  David W. Brauer, P.L.L.C.  722 E. Grand Avenue Ave.  Brighton, MI 48116  (517) 548-1998   | Wilder Milder Mi | ENARD, IN  | Corporation<br>ad   |
| Instructions: Check the items below that apply to you and provid   | e any required informatio  | on. Submit this  | form to the court clerk along with your complaint and   |
| Domestic Relations Case  ☐ There are no pending or resolved cases within the family members of the person(s) who are the sub. ☐ There is one or more pending or resolved cases withe family or family members of the person(s) who confidential case inventory (form MC 21) listing the It is unknown if there are pending or resolved cases the family or family members of the person(s) who civil Case ☐ This is a business case in which all or part of the image. ☐ MDHHS and a contracted health plan may have a the complaint will be provided to MDHHS and (if a Image) There is no other pending or resolved civil action complaint. ☐ A civil action between these parties or other parties.   | ject of the complaint within the jurisdiction or are the subject of those cases. The subject of  | to of the fame the complaint the transaction of the complaint the | illy division of the circuit court involving int. I have separately filed a completed family division of the circuit court involving int.  ommercial dispute under MCL 600.8035. his case. I certify that notice and a copy of h plan in accordance with MCL 400.106(4) ition or occurrence as alleged in the |
| been previously filed in  this court,  |  | STATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY.  | Court, where  |
| it was given case number and assigned to Judge  The action □ remains □ is no longer pending.   |  |  |   |
| Summons section completed by court clerk.  | SUMMONS  |  |   |
| NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the name of the  1. You are being sued.  2. YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after receiving this summon serve a copy on the other party or take other law served outside this state).  3. If you do not answer or take other action within the demanded in the complaint.  4. If you require special accommodations to use the to help you fully participate in court proceedings, issue date:    Separation date: 6 2021   Expiration date: 6 2021   Expiration date: 6 2021   Expiration date: 7 2021   Expiratio | is and a copy of the ful action with the etime allowed, judg   | complaint to<br>court (28 do<br>nment may l  | o file a written answer with the court and<br>lays if you were served by mail or you were<br>be entered against you for the relief<br>if you require a foreign language interprete  |

\*This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court.



# STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF GENESEE

JAMES K. SMITH,

Plaintiff.

21-11523

Case No.: 21-

Hon.

MENARD, INC., a Wisconsin incorporation, doing business in the State of Michigan,

F. KAY BEHM

Defendant.

David W. Brauer (P41974) Attorney for Plaintiff 722 E. Grand River Ave. Brighton, MI 48116 (517) 548-1998

### COMPLAINT

NOW COME Plaintiff, JAMES K. SMITH, by and through his attorney, David W. Brauer of David W. Brauer, PLLC, and for his Complaint, states as follows:

## **COMMON ALLEGATIONS**

- 1. Plaintiff, James K. Smith, is a resident of Grand Blanc, Genesee County, Michigan.
- 2. Menard, Inc., is a lawful Wisconsin corporation that conducts regular and systematic business within the State of Michigan
- 3. That Defendant Menard, Inc., hereinafter referred to as Menard, has a store located at 6410 East Court Street, Davison, Michigan 48423, which is open to the public.
- 4. That on or about November 8, 2019, Plaintiff, a business invitee, purchased lumber at the Menard store in Davison, Michigan. He was there in the evening after it had become dark outside.
- 5. That on November 8, 2019, Plaintiff purchased lumber that was located outside of the building in the Menard lumber yard.
- 6. That there was a large bundle of packaged lumber that was blocking the light in the vicinity of the lumber Plaintiff had purchased and was trying to load into his

David W. Brauer, P.L.L.C.

ATTORNEY AT LAW

515 E. Grand River Ave. Howell, Michigan 48843

(517) 548-1998

Page 2 of 6

vehicle. Thus, there was very inadequate, if any, lighting in the area in question at the time of the injury.

- 7. That there were no safety measures on the lumber rack to prevent the lumber from falling off.
- 8. That the lumber was stacked very precariously and when Plaintiff went to retrieve it to put it into his vehicle, lumber fell on the Plaintiff's right foot.
- 9. The amount in controversy, exclusive of costs, interest, or attorney fees, exceeds \$50,000.

#### **COUNT I - PREMISES LIABILITY**

- 10. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 9 as though fully set forth herein paragraph for paragraph, word for word and states as follows.
- 11. That on or about November 8, 2019, in the evening, a business invitee, at Menard's store in Davison, Michigan, the Plaintiff, James K. Smith, while retrieving lumber he had purchased from the Menard's lumber yard in the evening after dark, the Plaintiff sustained injuries as set forth below.
- 12. That on or about November 8, 2019, after dark, lumber fell off the lumber rack in the lumber yard and onto Plaintiff's right foot causing severe and permanent injuries.
- 13. That the injuries Plaintiff sustained were a Lisfranc injury, injuries to the joints, muscles, nerves, ligaments, tendons and soft tissue to the right foot and ankle along with aggravations to pre-existing conditions, whether known or unknown at this time.
- 14. That Defendant breached its duties and was negligent in one or more of the following manners:
  - a. Inadequate lighting in the lumber yard where Plaintiff was injured;
  - No safety measures on the lumber rack in question;
  - That Menard failed to warn or instruct the Plaintiff and others of the hazardous conditions then and there existing;
  - d. The lumber was stacked precariously/dangerously;
  - e. By failing to take adequate measures to move the large, packaged lumber to allow adequate light in the vicinity where injury occurred, and/or install safety devices on the sides of the lumber racks.
- 15. That Defendant beached said duties by failing to maintain the premises free of and clear of dangerous conditions and/or to warn Plaintiff of their existence and as a

Page 3 of 6

direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff sustained injuries and the following damages:

- a. Pain and suffering; past, present and future;
- b. Mental anguish;
- c. Embarrassment, shock and humiliation;
- d. Loss of normal society and recreational activities;
- e. Injury, incapacity and disability;
- f. Loss of wages: past, present and future;
- g. Disability and disfigurement;
- h. Medial expenses;
- i. Denial of social pleasures and enjoyment;
- Aggravations to pre-existing conditions, whether known or unknown at this time;
- k. Loss of future wage-earning capacity; and
- Other damages found to be related to the injury complained of herein that develop during the course of the litigation and discovery.

WHEREFORE, your Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court enter Judgment against Defendant, Menard, Inc., for an amount in excess of Fifty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$50,000) for which Plaintiff is entitled, together with interest, costs and attorney fees so wrongfully incurred, and enter such other relief as is appropriate under the facts and circumstances at hand.

#### **COUNT II - NUISANCE**

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 15 as though fully set forth herein paragraph for paragraph, word for word and states as follows.
- 17. Defendant improperly operated and maintained its premises so that it constituted a nuisance to Plaintiff and others on the premises as set forth herein:
  - Inadequate lighting in the lumber yard where Plaintiff was injured;
  - b. No safety measures on the lumber rack in question;
  - c. That Menard failed to warn or instruct the Plaintiff and others of the hazardous condition then and there existing;
  - d. The lumber was stacked precariously/dangerously;
  - e. By failing to take adequate measures to remove the large, packaged lumber to allow adequate light in the vicinity where injury occurred, and/or install safety devices on the sides of the lumber racks.
- 18. The creation and maintenance of this nuisance by Defendant was the legal and proximate cause of the Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

Page 4 of 6

- 19. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's maintenance of a nuisance, Plaintiff suffered a Lisfranc injury, injuries to the joints, muscles, nerves, ligaments, tendons and soft tissue to the right foot and ankle along with aggravations to pre-existing conditions, whether known or unknown at this time.
- 20. As a direct result of Defendant's breach of the following duties, the Plaintiff sustained the following damages:
  - a. Pain and suffering; past, present and future;
  - b. Mental anguish;
  - c. Embarrassment, shock and humiliation;
  - d. Loss of normal society and recreational activities;
  - e. Injury, incapacity and disability;
  - f. Loss of wages: past, present and future;
  - g. Disability and disfigurement;
  - h. Medial expenses;
  - i. Denial of social pleasures and enjoyment;
  - Aggravations to pre-existing conditions, whether known or unknown at this time:
  - k. Loss of future wage-earning capacity; and
  - I. Other damages found to be related to the injury complained of herein that develop during the course of the litigation and discovery.

WHEREFORE, your Plaintiffs respectfully request this Honorable Court enter Judgment against Defendant, Menard, Inc., for that amount in excess of Fifty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$50,000) for which Plaintiffs are entitled, together with interest, costs and attorney fees so wrongfully incurred, and enter such other relief as is appropriate under the facts and circumstances at hand.

#### COUNT IV - NEGLIGENCE

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 as though fully set forth herein paragraph for paragraph, word for word and states as follows.
- 22. That Plaintiff was a business invitee. Defendant Menard held the premises open for commercial purposes. Plaintiff was on Defendant's premises to acquire goods or services.
- 23. That on or about November 8, 2019, in the evening, while a business invitee, at Menard's store in Davison, Michigan, the Plaintiff, James K. Smith, while retrieving lumber he had purchased from the Menard's lumber yard in the evening after dark, the Plaintiff sustained injuries.
- 24. That as direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, lumber fell off the lumber rack and on to Plaintiff's right foot causing severe and permanent injuries.

Page 5 of 6

- 25. That the injuries Plaintiff sustained were a Lisfranc injury, injuries to the joints, muscles, nerves, ligaments, tendons and soft tissue to the right foot and ankle along with aggravations to pre-existing conditions, whether known or unknown at this time.
- 26. That Defendant breached its duties and was negligent in one or more of the following manners:
  - Inadequate lighting in the lumber yard where Plaintiff was injured;
  - No safety measures on the lumber rack in question;
  - That Menard failed to warn or instruct the Plaintiff and others of the hazardous condition then and there existing;
  - d. The lumber was stacked precariously/dangerously;
  - e. By failing to take adequate measures to remove the large, packaged lumber to allow adequate light in the vicinity where injury occurred, and/or install safety devices on the sides of the lumber racks.
- 27. That Defendant beached said duties by failing to maintain the premises free of the dangerous condition by failing to have adequate lighting, by failing to have safety devices on the lumber rack, precariously stacking the lumber, failed to warn Plaintiff of the dangerous conditions, all of which were the direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach. Plaintiff sustained injuries and the following damages:
  - a. Pain and suffering; past, present and future;
  - b. Mental anguish;
  - c. Embarrassment, shock and humiliation;
  - d. Loss of normal society and recreational activities;
  - e. Injury, incapacity and disability;
  - f. Loss of wages: past, present and future;
  - g. Disability and disfigurement;
  - h. Medial expenses;
  - i. Denial of social pleasures and enjoyment;
  - Aggravations to pre-existing conditions, whether known or unknown at this time;
  - k. Loss of future wage-earning capacity; and
  - Other damages found to be related to the injury complained of herein that develop during the course of the litigation and discovery.

Page 6 of 6

WHEREFORE, your Plaintiffs respectfully request this Honorable Court enter Judgment against Defendant, Menard, Inc., for that amount in excess of Fifty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$50,000) for which Plaintiffs are entitled, together with interest, costs and attorney fees so wrongfully incurred, and enter such other relief as is appropriate under the facts and circumstances at hand.

Respectfully submitted

DAVID W. BRAUER (P 41974) DAVID W. BRAUER, PLLC

Attorney for Plaintiff

722 E. Grand River Avenue

Brighton, MI 48843 (517) 548-1998

Dated: January 14, 2021

David W. Brauer, P.L.L.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW